

Randomly inhomogeneous Luttinger liquid: Fluctuations of the tunnel conductance.

A. Gramada* and M. E. Raikh

Department of Physics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112

Abstract

The Luttinger liquid in which the concentration of electrons varies randomly with coordinate is considered. We study the fluctuations of the tunnel conductance, caused by the randomness in the concentration. If the concentration changes slowly on the scale of the Fermi wavelength, its prime role reduces to the scattering of the plasmon waves, propagating along the system. As a result of such a scattering, plasmons get localized. We show that the localization length, l_ω , of a plasmon with frequency ω is inverse proportional to the square of the interaction strength and changes with frequency as $l_\omega \propto \omega^{-2}$. If the relative variation of the concentration is small, the randomness-induced correction to the tunnel conductance, $\delta G(V)$, where V is the applied bias, can be expressed through the spectral characteristics of the localized plasmons. The magnitude of the correction, $(\overline{\delta G^2})^{1/2}/G$, increases with V as $\sqrt{V}$. The typical period of the fluctuations in $\delta G(V)$ is of the order of V . At a fixed V , the correlator of δG at different points of the liquid falls off with distance as a power law and oscillates with the period which is one half of the wavelength of a plasmon with frequency $\omega = eV/\hbar$.

PACS Numbers: 73.20.Dx, 73.40.Gk

Typeset using REVTeX

I. INTRODUCTION

It is well-known that the density of states in a pure one-dimensional interacting electron gas (Luttinger liquid) vanishes as a power law in the vicinity of the Fermi level¹: $\nu(\omega) \propto \omega^\kappa$, where the exponent is determined by the interaction strength. Such a behavior should reveal itself in the dependence of the differential tunnel conductance on the applied bias: $G(V) = dI/dV \propto \nu(eV)$. It is obvious that the presence of a disorder would perturb the local value of the density of states and, thus, cause some random correction, $\delta G(V)$, to the conductance. Then the relevant questions are:

- i) What is the typical magnitude of δG for a given realization of the disorder?
- ii) How the values of δG at different voltages are correlated?
- iii) How the correlation between the values of δG at the same voltage, but at different points of the liquid, falls off with increasing separation between the points?

These questions are addressed in the present paper. We will consider the case of a smooth disorder. Namely, we will assume that the correlation radius is much larger than the Fermi wavelength. This assumption simplifies the problem drastically, since it permits one to neglect the backward scattering of electrons² and, hence, to view the disorder as a random variation of the electron concentration with coordinate. The key to understanding the role of the disorder is provided by the bosonization procedure^{3,4}, which allows to describe the low-energy excitations of the system in terms of bosonic excitations (plasmons), propagating along the liquid. Since the velocity of a plasmon depends on the concentration of electrons, the spatial variation of the concentration would give rise to the backscattering of plasmons. In other words, in the presense of a disorder, a plasmon with frequency ω acquires a finite mean free path l_ω . It is important to note that a smooth disorder, for which the backscattering of electrons at the Fermi level is suppressed, might, ultimately, be quite efficient in backscattering of plasmons with wavelengths of the order of the correlation radius.

For non-interacting electrons in one dimension it is established that even a weak disorder

leads to the localization of all eigenstates^{5,6}. Then the mean free path acquires the meaning of the localization radius. The same conclusion applies, certainly, to plasmons. The difference is, however, that an electron becomes more and more localized as its energy decreases, whereas for plasmons the situation is the opposite: the lower is the frequency, the weaker is the localization. Since the dispersion law of a plasmon is linear: $\omega = sk$, where s is the sound velocity, the density of the plasmon states is frequency-independent. The reason for suppression of the localization for the low-frequency plasmons is that the matrix element of the backscattering vanishes in the limit of long wavelengths. In particular, we show that for small ω the frequency dependence of the mean free path is $l_\omega \propto \omega^{-2}$ and, correspondingly, the product kl_ω , which determines the localization strength, increases as $1/\omega$ when ω goes to zero. We also show that l_ω turns to infinity when the interactions are switched off, thus revealing that the backscattering of plasmons is possible only due to interactions.

If the relative variation of the concentration is small, the disorder-induced correction to $\nu(\omega)$ can be expressed in terms of the spectral characteristics of the localized plasmons (such as local density of states). On the other hand, these characteristics were the subject of detailed studies in application to the localized electrons^{7,9,8}. By utilizing the approach developed in Refs. 7,9, we calculate the variance $(\overline{\delta G^2})^{1/2}$, and the two-point correlator $\overline{\delta G(x)\delta G(0)}$. We find that the ratio $(\overline{\delta G^2})^{1/2}/G$ increases with voltage as $\sqrt{V}$ and that the characteristic “period” of change of $\delta G(V)$ with voltage is of the order of V . We also find that the two-point correlator falls off with x as a power law and oscillates with the period $\delta x = \pi s\hbar/eV$, which is one half of the wavelength of a plasmon with frequency $\omega = eV/\hbar$.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section the formula for the mean free path of a plasmon is derived. In Section III we calculate the correlator of fluctuations of the tunnel conductance. Section IV concludes the paper.

II. MEAN FREE PATH OF A PLASMON

The Hamiltonian of a Luttinger liquid with concentration of electrons, $n(x)$, being a function of coordinate has the form^{10,11}

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \int_0^\infty dx \left[\frac{\hat{p}^2(x)}{2mn(x)} + \frac{1}{2} \left(V_0 + \frac{\pi^2 \hbar^2}{m} n(x) \right) \left(\frac{d(n\hat{u})}{dx} \right)^2 \right], \quad (1)$$

where u and $\hat{p}(x)$ are, correspondingly, the displacement and conjugate momentum ($[\hat{u}(x), \hat{p}(x')] = i\hbar\delta(x-x')$); V_0 is the effective interaction strength: $V_0 = \int dx V(x)$. The Hamiltonian can be reduced to a system of harmonic oscillators

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \sum_\mu \left[\frac{\hat{\mathcal{P}}_\mu^2}{2m} + \frac{m\Omega_\mu^2}{2} \hat{Q}_\mu^2 \right], \quad (2)$$

by means of the following transformation

$$\hat{u}(x) = \sum_\mu \frac{1}{\sqrt{n(x)}} \Phi_\mu(x) \hat{Q}_\mu, \quad \hat{p}(x) = \sum_\mu \sqrt{n(x)} \Phi_\mu(x) \hat{\mathcal{P}}_\mu. \quad (3)$$

Here Φ_μ are the eigenfunctions of the operator $\hat{D}$ which is defined as

$$\hat{D}\Phi_\mu = -\sqrt{n(x)} \frac{d}{dx} \left[\left(\frac{V_0}{m} + \left(\frac{\pi\hbar}{m} \right)^2 n(x) \right) \frac{d}{dx} \left(\sqrt{n(x)} \Phi_\mu \right) \right] = \Omega_\mu^2 \Phi_\mu. \quad (4)$$

The eigenvalues of $\hat{D}$ determine the frequencies, Ω_μ , of the oscillators. If the concentration is constant ($n(x) = n_0$), the solutions of (4) are the plane waves

$$\Phi_\mu^0 = \frac{e^{ikx}}{\sqrt{L}}, \quad (5)$$

with a linear spectrum $\Omega_\mu = \Omega_\mu^0 = sk_\mu$ (L is the normalization length). Substituting (5) into (4), we get the standard expression for the sound velocity¹²

$$s = v_F \sqrt{1 + \frac{V_0}{\pi\hbar v_F}}, \quad (6)$$

where $v_F = \pi\hbar n_0/m$ is the Fermi velocity.

Assuming that the relative variation of the concentration is small, $|n(x) - n_0| \ll n_0$, the expression for the mean free time, τ_ω , for a plasmon with frequency ω is given by the golden rule

$$\frac{1}{\tau_\omega} = \frac{\pi}{\omega} \sum_\nu \overline{\left| \langle \Phi_\mu^{(0)} | \hat{D} | \Phi_\nu^{(0)} \rangle \right|^2} \delta(\Omega_\nu^{(0)^2} - \omega^2), \quad (7)$$

where $\Phi_\mu^{(0)}$ is the plane wave with $k_\mu = \omega/s$ and $\overline{(\dots)}$ stands for the averaging over the fluctuations of $n(x)$. The factor $1/\omega$ in (7) appears since we define the mean free time as $1/\tau_\omega = \text{Im}\Omega_\mu = \text{Im}\Omega_\mu^2/2\omega$. Keeping only the first order terms in the difference $n(x) - n_0$, we get the following expression for the matrix element

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi_\mu^{(0)} | \hat{D} | \Phi_\nu^{(0)} \rangle &= \frac{V_0}{2m} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx (n - n_0) \left(\Phi_\mu^{(0)*} \frac{d^2 \Phi_\nu^{(0)}}{dx^2} + \Phi_\nu^{(0)} \frac{d^2 \Phi_\mu^{(0)*}}{dx^2} \right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{\pi \hbar}{m} \right)^2 n_0 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx (n - n_0) \left[\frac{1}{2} \Phi_\mu^{(0)*} \frac{d^2 \Phi_\nu^{(0)}}{dx^2} + \frac{1}{2} \Phi_\nu^{(0)} \frac{d^2 \Phi_\mu^{(0)*}}{dx^2} - \frac{d\Phi_\mu^{(0)*}}{dx} \frac{d\Phi_\nu^{(0)}}{dx} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The energy conservation, insured by the δ -function in (7), requires that $k_\nu = -\omega/s$ (backscattering). Then we have $\Phi_\mu^{(0)*} = \Phi_\nu^{(0)}$ and, as it can be easily seen, the second term in the matrix element vanishes identically. As a result, the matrix element takes the form

$$\langle \Phi_\mu^{(0)} | \hat{D} | \Phi_\nu^{(0)} \rangle = \frac{V_0}{mL} \left(\frac{\omega}{s} \right)^2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx (n - n_0) e^{2i\omega x/s}. \quad (9)$$

We see that the matrix element for backscattering is proportional to the interaction strength, which reflects the fact that this process is possible only due to interactions. The mean free path, l_ω , defined as $l_\omega = s\tau_\omega$, can be found after substituting (9) into (7)

$$\frac{1}{l_\omega} = \left(\frac{s^2 - v_F^2}{s^2} \right)^2 \frac{\overline{(\delta n)^2}}{2n_0^2} \left(\frac{\omega}{s} \right)^2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx K \left(\frac{|x|}{R_c} \right) e^{2i\omega x/s} \quad (10)$$

where we have introduced the correlator of the fluctuations of $n(x)$

$$\overline{(n(x) - n_0)(n(x') - n_0)} = \overline{(\delta n)^2} K \left(\frac{|x - x'|}{R_c} \right). \quad (11)$$

Here R_c is the correlation radius and $\overline{(\delta n)^2}$ is the mean square fluctuation of $n(x)$, so that $K(0) = 1$.

It is instructive to rewrite (10) for the product $kl_\omega = \omega l_\omega/s$, which measures the effective scattering strength. Assuming the gaussian form of the correlator, $K(z) = e^{-z^2}$, we obtain

$$(kl_\omega)^{-1} = \sqrt{\pi} \left(\frac{s^2 - v_F^2}{s^2} \right)^2 \frac{\overline{(\delta n)^2}}{2n_0^2} \left(\frac{\omega R_c}{s} e^{-\omega^2 R_c^2/s^2} \right). \quad (12)$$

Note that the last factor in (12) is a function of the argument $\omega R_c/s$ and this function does not exceed unity. Thus, the product kl_ω is large for any ω . Obviously, the backscattering is ineffective when $\omega R_c/s > 1$, i.e. when the correlation radius R_c exceeds the wave length of the plasmon. In the opposite limit, $\omega R_c/s < 1$, the mean free path behaves as $l_\omega \sim \omega^{-2}$. As it was mentioned in the Introduction, the real meaning of l_ω is the localization length of a plasmon with frequency ω . The fact that $kl_\omega > 1$ allows one to apply to localized plasmons, the description developed earlier for localized electrons^{6,7} just in this limit. This is done in the next section.

III. CORRELATOR OF THE FLUCTUATIONS OF THE TUNNEL CONDUCTANCE

Once the Hamiltonian is diagonalized, the derivation of the formula for the density of states becomes standard¹². The operator $\Psi^\dagger(x_0)$, creating an electron at point x_0 , can be presented in the form^{12,4}

$$\Psi^\dagger(x_0) = \exp \left\{ -\frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_\mu \left(\alpha_\mu(x_0) \hat{\mathcal{P}}_\mu + \beta_\mu(x_0) \hat{Q}_\mu \right) \right\}, \quad (13)$$

where the coefficients α_μ and β_μ are defined as

$$\alpha_\mu(x_0) = \int_{x_0}^{+\infty} dx \frac{\Phi_\mu}{\sqrt{n(x)}}, \quad \beta_\mu(x_0) = \pi \sqrt{n(x_0)} \Phi_\mu(x_0). \quad (14)$$

The calculation of the Green function $\langle \Psi(t) \Psi^\dagger(0) \rangle$ does not differ from that for a pure Luttinger liquid and leads to the following expression for the density of states

$$\nu(\omega) = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Re} \int_0^\infty dt e^{i\omega t} \langle \Psi(t) \Psi^\dagger(0) \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi} \text{Re} \int_0^\infty dt e^{i\omega t} e^{-W(t)}, \quad (15)$$

where W is the sum over eigenmodes

$$W(t) = \sum_\mu \left(\frac{m|\alpha_\mu|^2 \Omega_\mu}{2\hbar} + \frac{\hbar|\beta_\mu|^2}{2m\Omega_\mu} \right) \left(1 - e^{-i\Omega_\mu t} \right). \quad (16)$$

If $n(x)$ is constant so that the eigenfunctions are given by (5), Eq.(15) reproduces the known result¹² for the density of states: $\nu_0(\omega) \propto \omega^\kappa$, with

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{s}{v_F} + \frac{v_F}{s} \right) - 1 = \frac{1 + \frac{V_0}{2\pi\hbar v_F}}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{V_0}{\pi\hbar v_F}}} - 1 \quad (17)$$

Indeed, for plane waves we have $|\alpha_\mu|^2 = 1/k_\mu^2 L n_0$, $|\beta_\mu|^2 = \pi^2 n_0 / L$ and we get the following expression for the function W

$$W_0(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{s}{v_F} + \frac{v_F}{s} \right) \int_0^\infty \frac{dk}{k} (1 - e^{-iskt}) e^{-r_0 k} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{s}{v_F} + \frac{v_F}{s} \right) \ln \left(\frac{r_0 + ist}{r_0} \right), \quad (18)$$

where r_0 is the cutoff parameter. Substituting this expression into (15) leads to (17).

To find the disorder-induced correction to $\nu_0(\omega)$, we treat the difference $W(t) - W_0(t)$ as a perturbation and expand the exponent in (15) to the first order. This gives

$$\delta\nu = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Re} \int_0^\infty dt e^{i\omega t} \left(\frac{r_0}{r_0 + ist} \right)^{\kappa+1} [W(t) - W_0(t)]. \quad (19)$$

To calculate the integral over t , it is convenient to present the denominator in (19) in the form

$$\frac{1}{(r_0 + ist)^{\kappa+1}} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\kappa + 1)} \int_0^\infty dz z^\kappa e^{-z(r_0 + ist)}. \quad (20)$$

After substituting (20) into (19), both integrations, over z and t can be easily carried out, and one obtains

$$\delta\nu(x_0, \omega) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\kappa + 1)} \left(\frac{r_0}{s} \right)^{\kappa+1} \left\{ \sum_\mu \left[\frac{m|\alpha_\mu|^2 \Omega_\mu}{2\hbar} + \frac{\hbar|\beta_\mu|^2}{2m\Omega_\mu} \right] (\omega - \Omega_\mu)^\kappa - (\kappa + 1) \int_0^{\omega/s} \frac{dq}{q} (\omega - sq)^\kappa \right\}. \quad (21)$$

Note that the constant α_ν can be expressed through the derivative $\left. \frac{d\Phi_\nu}{dx} \right|_{x=x_0}$ by integrating the equation (4) from x_0 to ∞ . Then, one gets

$$\alpha_\mu = -\frac{s^2}{\Omega_\mu^2 \sqrt{n_0}} \left(\frac{d\Phi_\mu}{dx} \right) \Big|_{x=x_0}. \quad (22)$$

To study the correlation properties of $\delta\nu$, we introduce the following local densities

$$\rho_1(\varepsilon, x_0) = \sum_\mu |\Phi_\mu(x_0)|^2 \delta(\varepsilon - \Omega_\mu), \quad (23)$$

$$\rho_2(\varepsilon, x_0) = s^2 \sum_\mu \frac{1}{\Omega_\mu^2} \left| \frac{d\Phi_\mu(x_0)}{dx} \right|^2 \delta(\varepsilon - \Omega_\mu). \quad (24)$$

The average values of these densities are equal

$$\overline{\rho_1} = \overline{\rho_2} = \frac{1}{\pi s}. \quad (25)$$

Then the correction $\delta\nu$ can be rewritten in terms of the fluctuations $\delta\rho_1 = \rho_1 - 1/\pi s$ and $\delta\rho_2 = \rho_2 - 1/\pi s$

$$\delta\nu(x_0, \omega) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\kappa+1)} \left(\frac{r_0}{s}\right)^{\kappa+1} \frac{\pi s}{2} \int_0^\omega \frac{d\omega_1}{\omega_1} (\omega - \omega_1)^\kappa \left[\frac{v_F}{s} \delta\rho_1(\omega_1, x_0) + \frac{s}{v_F} \delta\rho_2(\omega_1, x_0) \right]. \quad (26)$$

Correspondingly, the correlator of $\delta\nu$ at different points is expressed through the correlators of the fluctuations $\delta\rho_1, \delta\rho_2$

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\delta\nu(x_1, \omega) \delta\nu(x_2, \omega)} &= \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\kappa+1)} \left(\frac{r_0}{s}\right)^{\kappa+1} \frac{\pi s}{2} \right]^2 \times \\ &\int_0^\omega \frac{d\omega_1}{\omega_1} \int_0^\omega \frac{d\omega_2}{\omega_2} (\omega - \omega_1)^\kappa (\omega - \omega_2)^\kappa \left(\frac{v_F^2}{s^2} F_1 + \frac{s^2}{v_F^2} F_2 + 2F_{12} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where the correlators F_1, F_2 and F_{12} are defined as

$$F_1 = \overline{\delta\rho_1(x_1, \omega_1) \delta\rho_1(x_2, \omega_2)}, \quad (28)$$

$$F_2 = \overline{\delta\rho_2(x_1, \omega_1) \delta\rho_2(x_2, \omega_2)}, \quad (29)$$

$$F_{12} = \overline{\delta\rho_1(x_1, \omega_1) \delta\rho_2(x_2, \omega_2)}. \quad (30)$$

The correlator F_1 is, in fact, the correlator of the fluctuations of the local density. In application to localized electrons, it was studied in Refs. 8,9 using, correspondingly, the Berezinskiĭ technique⁶ and the technique developed by Berezinskiĭ and Gor'kov⁷. In the limit $\omega_1 \tau_{\omega_1} \gg 1, \omega_2 \tau_{\omega_2} \gg 1$, the correlator is nonzero only if the difference $\omega_1 - \omega_2$ is small enough: $|\omega_1 - \omega_2| \sim 1/\tau_{\omega_1}$. For distances $z = |x_1 - x_2| \ll l_{\omega_1}, l_{\omega_2}$, the expression for F_1 , obtained in Ref. 8, reads

$$F_1 = \frac{1}{3\pi^2 s^2} \left[\frac{\pi}{2\tau_{\omega_1}} \delta(\varepsilon) \left(3 - 2 \sin^2 \left(\frac{\omega_1 z}{s} \right) \right) + 2 \sin^2 \left(\frac{\omega_1 z}{s} \right) (C(\varepsilon) - 1) \right], \quad (31)$$

where $\varepsilon = \omega_1 - \omega_2$ is the difference between the two frequencies and the function $C(\omega)$ is given by

$$C(\varepsilon) = (2\varepsilon\tau_{\omega_1})^2 \int_0^\infty dy \frac{\cos(2\varepsilon\tau_{\omega_1}y)}{y+1} = (2\varepsilon\tau_{\omega_1})^2 \int_0^\infty dq e^{-q} \frac{q}{q^2 + 4\varepsilon^2\tau_{\omega_1}^2}. \quad (32)$$

As it was noted in Refs. 9,8,13, at $z = 0$ and $\varepsilon \neq 0$, we have $F_1 = 0$, i.e. the correlation is absent.

It is easy to establish that the correlator F_2 is equal to F_1 . Concerning the correlator F_{12} , we did not find the expression for this correlator in the literature. So, we have calculated it using the Berezinskiĭ-Gor'kov technique and obtained the following expression

$$F_{12} = \frac{1}{3\pi^2 s^2} \left[\frac{\pi}{2\tau_{\omega_1}} \delta(\varepsilon) \left(1 + 2 \sin^2 \left(\frac{\omega_1 z}{s} \right) \right) + 2 \cos^2 \left(\frac{\omega_1 z}{s} \right) (C(\varepsilon) - 1) \right]. \quad (33)$$

It is seen that in contrast to F_1 , the correlator F_{12} is non-zero at $z = 0$ and $\varepsilon \neq 0$ ¹⁴. For finite ε , both correlators are proportional to $(C(\varepsilon) - 1)$ and decay with increasing ε as $(\varepsilon\tau_{\omega_1})^{-2}$.

To calculate the double integral in (27), we make use of the fact that the correlators F_1, F_2 and F_{12} are sharp functions of ε , i.e. the major contribution to the integral comes from the domain $|\omega_1 - \omega_2| \sim 1/\tau_{\omega_1} \ll \omega_1, \omega_2$. This allows to put $\omega_2 = \omega_1$ in all other factors and to extend the integration over $\varepsilon = \omega_1 - \omega_2$ to $(-\infty, \infty)$. Noting that the integral of $(1 - C(\varepsilon))$ is equal to π/τ_{ω_1} , we obtain

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty d\varepsilon \left(\frac{v_F^2}{s^2} F_1 + \frac{s^2}{v_F^2} F_2 + 2F_{12} \right) = \frac{1}{2\pi s^2 \tau_{\omega_1}} \left(\frac{s}{v_F} - \frac{v_F}{s} \right)^2 \cos \left(\frac{2\omega_1 z}{s} \right). \quad (34)$$

Note that the coefficients in front of F_1, F_2 and F_{12} in (27) have combined into the factor $(s/v_F - v_F/s)^2$ which is proportional to V_0^2 at small V_0 . Using (34), the expression for the correlator $\overline{\delta\nu(x_1, \omega)\delta\nu(x_2, \omega)}$ takes the form

$$\overline{\delta\nu(x_1, \omega)\delta\nu(x_2, \omega)} = \frac{\pi}{8} \left(\frac{s}{v_F} - \frac{v_F}{s} \right)^2 \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\kappa+1)} \left(\frac{r_0}{s} \right)^{\kappa+1} \right]^2 \int_0^\omega \frac{d\omega_1}{\omega_1^2 \tau_{\omega_1}} (\omega - \omega_1)^{2\kappa} \cos \left(\frac{2\omega_1 z}{s} \right). \quad (35)$$

Apparently, the factor $1/\omega_1^2$ in (35) diverges at small ω_1 . However, this divergency is compensated by the frequency dependence of the mean free time, which at small ω_1 behaves as $1/\omega_1^2$. Substituting τ_{ω_1} in (35) and introducing the new variable $w = \omega_1/\omega$, we get the final result

$$\overline{\delta\nu(x_1, \omega)\delta\nu(x_2, \omega)} = \frac{\pi^{3/2}}{16(2\kappa+1)} \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\kappa+1)} \left(\frac{r_0}{s} \right)^{\kappa+1} \right]^2 \left(1 - \frac{v_F^2}{s^2} \right)^4 \frac{(\overline{\delta n})^2}{n_0^2} \frac{s R_c \omega^{2\kappa+1}}{v_F^2} R(\tilde{z}) , \quad (36)$$

where the function R is defined as

$$R(\tilde{z}) = (2\kappa+1) \int_0^1 dw (1-w)^{2\kappa} e^{-w^2 (\frac{\omega R_c}{s})^2} \cos(w\tilde{z}) . \quad (37)$$

Here, $\tilde{z}$ is the dimensionless distance

$$\tilde{z} = \frac{2\omega}{s} (x_2 - x_1) . \quad (38)$$

The function R is defined in such a way that, in the only interesting limit $\omega R_c/s \ll 1$, it turns to 1 at $\tilde{z} = 0$. This function determines the coordinate dependence of the correlator (27) and, consequently, the coordinate dependence of the correlator of the fluctuations of the tunnel conductance

$$\frac{\overline{\delta G(x)\delta G(0)}}{(\overline{\delta G(0)})^2} = R\left(\frac{2eV}{s\hbar}x\right) . \quad (39)$$

The function R is plotted in Fig.1 . With increasing distance, it falls off and oscillates. The asymptotic behavior of $R(\tilde{z})$ at $\tilde{z} \gg 1$ is as follows

$$R(\tilde{z}) \approx \frac{\Gamma(2\kappa+2)}{\tilde{z}^{2\kappa+1}} \sin(\tilde{z} - \pi\kappa) . \quad (40)$$

The spatial period of the oscillations of R is $\delta x = \pi s \hbar / eV$ and it decreases with increasing voltage. It is to be emphasized that such a behavior of the correlator of δG (slow decay and oscillations) is entirely due to interactions. In the absence of the interactions, the correlator (39) would simply reproduce the correlator (11) of the fluctuations of $n(x)$, i.e. it would decay monotonously at distance $\sim R_c$ which is much smaller than δx .

At $\tilde{z} = 0$, the formula (27) defines the variance of $\delta\nu$. It is convenient to rewrite it for the relative magnitude of the fluctuations of δG

$$\frac{((\overline{\delta G})^2)^{1/2}}{\overline{G}} = \frac{\pi^{3/4}}{4(2\kappa+1)^{1/2}} \frac{s}{v_F} \left(1 - \frac{v_F^2}{s^2} \right)^2 \frac{(\overline{\delta n^2})^{1/2}}{n_0} \left(\frac{\omega R_c}{s} \right)^{1/2} \quad (41)$$

Naturally, the variance $(\overline{\delta G^2})^{1/2}$ is proportional to the magnitude of the fluctuations of the density. Less trivial is that (41) contains two additional factors: $(1 - v_F^2/s^2)^2$ which is proportional to V_0^2 at small V_0 , and a small factor $(\omega R_c/s)^{1/2}$ (note that (41) is written in the limit $\omega \ll s/R_c$). This factor determines the voltage dependence: $(\overline{(\delta G)^2})^{1/2}/G \propto \sqrt{V}$. Qualitatively, this dependence can be interpreted as follows. Formula (26) shows that all plasmon modes with frequencies smaller than ω contribute to $\delta\nu(x_0, \omega)$. Obviously, the major contribution comes from plasmons for which the center of localization lies within the localization radius from the point x_0 . Let us consider some frequency strip $(\omega_1 - \Delta, \omega_1 + \Delta)$ centered at $\omega_1 < \omega$ and with the width $\Delta \ll \omega_1$. Then, the localization radius for all modes within this strip is approximately l_{ω_1} . For a spatial interval of length l_ω , the typical frequency spacing between modes is $1/\overline{\rho}l_{\omega_1} \sim 1/\tau_{\omega_1}$. Correspondingly, the average number of modes within the strip is $\Delta\tau_{\omega_1}$ and, hence, the relative fluctuation of this number is $\sim (\Delta\tau_{\omega_1})^{-1/2}$. The final estimate for the fluctuation of $\delta\nu$ emerges if we set $\Delta \sim \omega_1 \sim \omega$. Then, one gets $\delta\nu/\nu = \delta G/G \sim (\omega\tau_\omega)^{-1/2} \approx (kl_\omega)^{-1/2}$. Substituting (12) for kl_ω , we reproduce the voltage dependence in (41). However, the estimate and the result of the calculation still differ by a factor $(1 - v_F^2/s^2)$. This factor originates from the specific details of the structure of the eigenfunctions (see the comment after Eq. (34)), and we cannot interpret it qualitatively. Note in conclusion of the section, that since all frequencies from 0 to ω give, roughly, comparable contributions to $\delta\nu(x_0, \omega)$, it will change significantly only when the frequency doubles. This means that at fixed x_0 the characteristic period of fluctuations in δG as a function of voltage is of the order of V .

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The main result of the present paper is that the randomness in the concentration of electrons in the Luttinger liquid causes a random component in the tunnel conductance which changes semiperiodically along the liquid, with the period depending on the applied bias. This behavior results from the fact that at a fixed bias, V , the frequencies of plas-

mons, responsible for the correction to the density of states, are strictly limited by $eV/\hbar$. Correspondingly, the oscillating behavior of the correlator of the fluctuations reflects the distribution of density in a plasmon with maximal frequency. If the conductance is studied as a function of bias, the disorder would cause fluctuations with characteristic period of the order of V . The fluctuations disappear as the wavelength of the plasmon with frequency $eV/\hbar$ becomes smaller than the spatial scale of the change of the concentration, R_c . There is also a limitation from low voltages, imposed by the finite length of the liquid, L . Namely, our calculation applies when the mean free path of a plasmon, l_ω , is smaller than L . At low enough frequencies l_ω exceeds L and the disorder does not play any role. For such frequencies the oscillations of the tunnel conductance with voltage have their origin in the size quantization of plasmons, the period of oscillations being $\pi\hbar s/eL$. These oscillations were studied in Ref. 10.

Note finally, that the approach developed in the present paper can be extended to the case of the multichannel Luttinger liquid¹⁵. In the latter case, the scattering of plasmons between the channels, caused by a disorder, should be taken into account.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

One of the authors (M.R.) is grateful to I. L. Aleiner and A. I. Larkin for a very useful discussion.

REFERENCES

* also at University of Bucharest, Romania

- ¹ A. Luther and I. Peschel Phys. Rev. B **9**, 2911 (1974).
- ² Y. Oreg and A. M. Finkel'stein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 4230 (1996).
- ³ D. C. Mattis and E. H. Lieb, J. Math. Phys. **6**, 304 (1965).
- ⁴ F. D. M. Haldane, J. Phys. C **14**, 2585 (1981).
- ⁵ N. F. Mott and W. D. Twose, Adv. Phys. **10**, 107 (1961).
- ⁶ V. L. Berezinskiĭ, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **65**, 1251 (1973) [Sov. Phys. JETP **38**, 620 (1974)].
- ⁷ V. L. Berezinskiĭ and L. P. Gor'kov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **77**, 2489 (1979) [Sov. Phys. JETP **50**, 1209 (1979)].
- ⁸ E. P. Nakhmedov, V. N. Prigodin, and Yu. A. Firsov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **92**, 2133 (1987) [Sov. Phys. JETP **65**, 1202 (1987)].
- ⁹ L. P. Gor'kov, O. N. Dorokhov, and F. V. Prigara, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **84**, 1440 (1983) [Sov. Phys. JETP **57**, 838 (1983)].
- ¹⁰ Yu. V. Nazarov, A. A. Odintsov, and D. V. Averin, Bull. American Phys. Soc. **41**, 376 (1996).
- ¹¹ A. Gramada, M. E. Raikh, preprint cond-mat/9604090
- ¹² G. Mahan *Many-Particle Physics*, 2nd ed. (Plenum, New York, 1990).
- ¹³ B. L. Al'tshuler and V. N. Prigodin, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **95**, 348 (1989) [Sov. Phys. JETP **68**, 198 (1989)].
- ¹⁴ Actually there is no qualitative understanding by now, why F_1 turns to zero at coinciding points¹³.

¹⁵ K. A. Matveev and L. I. Glazman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 990, (1993).

FIGURES

FIG. 1. The correlation function of tunnel conductance is plotted as a function of the dimensionless distance $\tilde{z}$ for $s/v_F = 1.2$

